

#### Agile Transformation at Scale Gail Ferreira, PhD, CSP, SPC, LSSBB, PMP Lean Agile Coach & Practice Leader drgail.Ferreira@gmail.com



#### **Agile Project Management**















#### Agenda

### Background

- **The Culture of Change**
- Building Innovative Products
- **Transformation at Scale**

### **Definition of Agile**

"...the ability to both <u>create and</u> <u>respond to change</u> in order to profit in a turbulent business environment."

- Jim Highsmith

### The Culture of Change

- 1. <u>Organizational structure</u> is about how you create teams and organize them
- 2. <u>Agile practice is about the methods and</u> tools you choose to introduce
- 3. <u>People and culture is about changing the</u> hearts and minds of the organization

- All three aspects are essential to sustain agility of any kind within the organization.

#### The Culture of Transformational Change

Agile Adoption is about the 'Agile Doing' side of the equation.



Transformation is about changing the 'Agile Being' side of the equation.

# Long term results require both adoption and transformation to be successful.

Culture is the #1 Challenge with Agile Transformation.

#### **Transformation at Scale**

#### Culture

#### Infrastructure





#### = Agile Transformation at Scale

+

# Three main challenges in scaling teams:

Coordinating work across teams Integrating work across teams Maintaining technical integrity of the system

### **Scaling Approaches**

Each of the popular scaling approaches offers a certain value proposition, focus, options for implementation, cost implications and other attributes

- Scrum of Scrums (SoS)
- Large Scale Scrum (LeSS) Larman/Vodde
- Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe) Leffingwell
- Spotify "model" (Tribes, Squads, Chapters & Guilds) Kniberg
- Scrum at Scale Sutherland/Brown
- Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) + Agility at Scale Ambler/Lines

### **Scrum of Scrums**



Scrum of scrums is a technique used to scale Scrum up to large groups (over a dozen people), consisting of dividing the groups into Agile teams of 5-10. Each daily scrum within a sub-team ends by designating one member as "ambassador" to participate in a daily meeting with ambassadors from other teams, called the Scrum of Scrums.





### Insights – LeSS (Large Scale Scrum)

#### Craig Larman characterizes LeSS as:

#### an organizational design based on ten LeSS Principles:

- 1. Large Scale Scrum is Scrum
- 2. Transparency
- 3. More with Less
- 4. Whole Product Focus
- 5. Customer Centric
- 6. Continuous Improvement
- 7. Lean Thinking
- 8. Systems Thinking
- 9. Empirical Process Control
- **10**. Queueing Theory



### Example



#### Scaled Agile Framework®



### Insights – SAFE ... Scaled Agile Framework

- Is applicable whenever at least a few hundred software practitioners are working cooperatively on related products and solutions
- Has generated great amounts of interest from enterprises
- Is becoming supported by a large number of Agile Tools

- The SAFe "big picture" has 3 levels: portfolio, program, team
- Relatively more prescriptive, pragmatic
- More controversial
- Evolving, growing, doing more types of training
- Release Trains

### Spotify Model



## Insights – Spotify

# ... Spotify offers a culture-centric approach to Scaling Agile

- Squads have end-to-end autonomy over their products
- Loosely coupled, tightly aligned
- Infrastructure
- Client Applications
- Features

- Squads = Scrum Teams
- Chapters = Competency Areas
- Tribes = Lightweight matrix of squads and chapters
- Guilds = Communities of Practice
- Self-service, Open source model
- Focus on enabling each other
- Release Train

### Insights – Scrum at Scale

### **Reproducible Patterns**

- 1. Modularity allows versatility.
- 2. Scrum is modular.
- 3. Deploying incrementally is modular.
- 4. Modularity supports a pattern library.



## Insights – DAD

# DAD seeks to extend Scrum for enterprise scale challenges

- People-first, learning-oriented hybrid agile approach
- Risk-value delivery lifecycle and goal driven
- Agility at scale is about explicitly addressing the challenges teams face in the real world
- Promotes Enterprise Awareness
- Key Differentiator explicitly recognizes that Agile teams are governed

## DAD supports a robust set of roles

- Team Lead
- Product Owner
- Architecture Owner
- Team Member
- Stakeholder





### **Concept: the Agile 3C rhythm**

The coordinate-collaborate-conclude rhythm occurs at several levels on a disciplined agile delivery (DAD) project:



## DAD Teams Are Enterprise Aware

Disciplined Agilists: Work closely with enterprise groups

Follow existing roadmaps where appropriate

Leverage existing assets

Enhance existing assets



Enterprise Awareness "How can I help my organization?"

Departmental Awareness "How can I help my department?"

Team Awareness "How can I help the team?"

Individual Awareness "How can I be the best me?"

#### **Transformation at Scale**



#### Proposed steps for Rollout – Principles and Practices

- Ensure Executive buy-in
- Implement Scrum as a program / product management framework
- Introduce Continuous Engineering practices
- Small, incremental rollout is proposed
- Identify pilot product to implement the agile principles and practices

#### **Pilot Rollout & Trainings**

Agile and Scrum trainings for the entire team

☑ Developers

➡ Testers

Product Owner / Product Manager

Scrum Master(s)

▶ Requirements writing (Stories, Use Cases)

Estimation Techniques

- Relative Estimation
- Story points
- ▶ Planning Poker

#### Integrated & Collaborative Governance



### Alignment



- Provide Vision
- Resolve Organizational Impediments & Risks
- Provide Resources
- Resolve Priority
   disputes
- Product Owner able to represent decisions made with Stakeholders
- Stakeholders voice is heard, differences resolved, vision clarified, priorities and value established
- Represent the Customer and Business needs
- Use Change Management
- Deliver Value
- Partner w/Business



#### Establish Communication & Collaboration Mechanisms



#### Keys to Effective Distributed Delivery

- Onshore & Offshore Tech Leads
- Offshore Customer Representatives
- Periodic travel rotation for offshore resources
- Good Agile PM tools
- Automated Build/Continuous Integration •
- Overlapping hours and daily standups
- Leveraging technology maximally
- Leverage Coaching & Common Training •
- Whole teams offshore
- Common Planning







#### **Leverage Continuous Integration & Automation**



#### **Measuring Success**

#### How do we measure success of Agile teams?

Process Measure	Formula			
Process Metric				
Agile Maturity Index	Agile Maturity Index at the end of every Sprint			
Customer Satisfaction	Customer rating at the end of every Sprint			
Team Satisfaction	Team satisfaction rating at the end of every Sprint			
Retrospective Action Items completed	% of Retrospective items completed			
Automation capability	% of Automation scripts / test scripts			
Requirement Change on Sprint – Discipline	% of Requirement change within the Sprints			

#### **Key Agile Metrics – Using IT Balanced Scorecard**

Balanced Scorecard	Strategic Objectives	Performance Measures
Financial Compliance	Improve Budget Performance	<ol> <li>Time to Value</li> <li>Cost of Value</li> <li>Earned value / release</li> </ol>
Customer Value	Increase Customer Satisfaction	<ol> <li>Cycle time.</li> <li>Customer Satisfaction ratings.</li> </ol>
Processes	Project Delivery	<ol> <li>Velocity</li> <li>Avg project cycle time</li> <li>Schedule performance index</li> </ol>
	Decrease Defects	<ol> <li>Defects</li> <li>Unit Test Coverage</li> <li>System Test Coverage</li> <li>UAT Defects Found</li> <li>Running tested features</li> </ol>
Learning and Growth	Enable Agile Transformation	<ol> <li>Increase Agile Utilization.</li> <li>Build community of practice.</li> </ol>

#### **Better Decisions through Frequent Feedback**

Sample Metrics		
Formula		
Actual Number of story points achieved in an iteration	Customer Value	Customer Satisfaction
Actual Effort (unplanned) / Capacity		Code
No. of defects at the Iteration end / LOC	Test Results	Quality
Stories descoped / Stories planned	Learning & Growth	Financial Compliance
(Actual effort – Planned effort) *100 / Planned effort		
Builds passed / Total # of builds		DIE
Unit test coverage, test case execution, etc	SAMPLE	
	Sample MetricsFormulaActual Number of story points achieved in an iterationActual Effort (unplanned) / CapacityNo. of defects at the Iteration end / LOCStories descoped / Stories planned(Actual effort – Planned effort) *100 / Planned effortBuilds passed / Total # of buildsUnit test coverage, test case execution, etc	Sample MetricsBalancedFormulaActual Number of story points achieved in an iterationCustomer ValueActual Effort (unplanned) / CapacityAutomated Test ResultsNo. of defects at the Iteration end / LOCLearning & GrowthStories descoped / Stories plannedLearning & Growth(Actual effort – Planned effort) *100 / Planned effortSAM Builds passed / Total # of buildsUnit test coverage, test case execution, etcSAM SAM SAM

#### Burn Down & Burn Up Charts





#### Measurement



### **Case Studies**



# **Single Team / Single Product**

Sub 25 person product company and a start-up

- Started with team level practices
- Lots of attention early to team culture
- Began engaging leaders on strategy and portfolio management
- Currently integrating marketing, sales, and support

Methods: Scrum of Scrums, Spotify

### Multi-Team / Single Product Sub 100 person product company. 10 years old and privately owned.

- Program level first ...established a PO team
- Three tightly integrated Scrum teams
- Defined the portfolio governance layer
- Established the relationship between strategy and support
- Modeled the overall value stream and wrapped up the Scrum process in a two-tiered Kanban

Methods: LeSS, Scrum of Scrums, Spotify

# Multi-Team / Multi-Product

Large multi-national organization. Scope is a 500 person development organization with 55 Scrum teams.

- Started with a basic view of the portfolio layer
- Portfolio level value stream mapping, RACI
- Built out the program management layer with PO teams to develop a requirements management capability
- Program level value stream mapping, RACI, introduced agile tooling
- Introduced Scrum at the team level

```
Methods:
SAFe, Scrum at Scale, LeSS, DAD
```

## **Product of Products**

Large multi-national company. Geographically dispersed. Products of products.

- Scrum teams by product / component.
- Product owner teams established.
- Portfolio level governance model.
- Lean/TOC planning model.
- Integration with a traditional PMO for metrics and monitoring.

Methods: SAFe, Scrum at Scale, LeSS, DAD

#### Questions



### A Few Good Resources ...











Scaling Lean & Agile Development

Thinking and Organizational Tasks As Large-Roals Server

> Croky Larson Has Visikie



Capital International Control of Control of

Craig Larman Bas Vodde





Canada M. Antonia + Made Lana Parametry for land

### Links

Disciplined Agile Delivery (DAD) + Agility at Scale – Ambler/Lines http://disciplinedagiledelivery.com

Large Scale Scrum (LeSS) – Larman / Vodde

http://www.craiglarman.com/wiki/index.php?title=Large-Scale\_Scrum

Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe) – Leffingwell http://scaledagileframework.com

Scrum at Scale http://www.scruminc.com

<u>Spotify Model</u> <u>https://labs.spotify.com/2014/03/27/spotify-engineering-culture-part-1/</u>

### Links

### HyperGrowth Done Right - Lessons from the Man who Scaled Dropbox and Facebook

http://firstround.com/review/Hyper-Growth-Done-Right-Lessons-From-the-Man-Who-Scaled-Engineering-at-Dropbox-and-Facebook/

#### **Wisdom from Hypergrowth Companies**

http://www.startuplessonslearned.com/2013/10/wisdom-from-hypergrowth-companies.html

#### **IT Balanced Scorecard – Agile Focus**

Strategy Map	Strategic Objectives	Performance Measures	Targets	Initiatives
Financial Compliance	Improve Project Budget Performance	<ol> <li>Margin Contribution (or Revenue, or Cost, etc)</li> <li>Earned Value/Release</li> <li>Avg CPI – Cost Performance Index</li> <li>Time to Value</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>TBD</li> <li>TBD</li> <li>TBD</li> <li>1 Month</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Measure IT contribution to Revenue</li> <li>Enable operations to release value every month to production</li> <li>Reduce cycle time</li> <li>Use business measures</li> </ul>
Customer Value	Increase External CSAT	1. Customer Satisfaction –CSAT	>6/qtr	Implement CSAT for all branches and end users of software
Internal Process	Increase accuracy of Estimates	1. E0/E1 Estimate Variance Delta	<20%/spr	Measure and monitor estimates every sprint, take active role in reducing variance
	On Time Project Delivery	<ol> <li>Velocity &amp; Std. Deviation</li> <li>Drag factor</li> <li>Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Requested</li> <li>Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled</li> <li>Sprint &amp; Release Burndown performance trend deviation</li> <li>Customer Satisfaction –CSAT</li> <li>Avg SPI – Schedule Performance Index</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Varies/spr</li> <li>&lt;5%/spr</li> <li>#prr/days</li> <li>#prs/spr</li> <li>&lt;10%</li> <li>&gt;6/qtr</li> <li>TBD</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Ensure operational measures are collected and collated every sprint, by every sprint team.</li> <li>Ensure sprint and release burndowns are posted daily (hours for teams, story points for everyone)</li> <li>Ensure CSAT surveys are created and part of goals</li> <li>PM to help teams track SPI during the release</li> </ul>
	Decrease Defect RFCs in Production	<ol> <li>Defects/Story Point</li> <li>Unit Test Coverage</li> <li>System Test Coverage</li> <li>UAT Defects Found</li> <li>Running Tested Features</li> </ol>	1. 0 2. >90% 3. >90% 4. 0 5. >90%	<ul> <li>Ensure consistent defect management across CRP and INC test teams.</li> <li>Measure coverage every sprint</li> <li>Measure running tested features</li> </ul>
	Increase Audit Compliance %	1. PQA Compliance	1. >90%	Educate teams about compliance, SM to help ensure compliance
	Increase % of agreed scope	<ol> <li>Story de-scoping index</li> <li>User Story Volatility</li> </ol>	1. <10% 2. <5%	• IT and Business measure needed. Indication of partnership. Ensure collection and review.
Learning and Growth	Enable Agile Transformation	1. Increase Agile Utilization	1. >25%/qtr	• Transformation team proactively working the roadmap to work toward 100% (of goal) participation.
	Enable People & Culture	1. Increase Ace Participation ((people/events)/month)	1. >33%	• Define and formalize the ACE program and participation opportunities and guidelines.

#### **Key Agile Metrics**

EQ/E1E V Delta       Sprint       <20%       (E1-EQ/E1)*100         Velocity & Std. Deviation       Sprint, Release       varies       varies by team         Drag factor       Sprint, Release       (Actual Effort In story pts unplanned / velocity)         Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Requested       Sprint, Release       (Velocity & varies)       Value range will vary by request type (mainty, Smr) project), but also want average of all.         Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled       Sprint, Release       varies       varies       varies         Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled       Sprint, Release varies       project), but also want average of all.       days, measure end to end time from the time the request was scheduled by the business to the time it goes live in production. Alternatively, can measure the (Smr), Smr)         Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled       Sprint, Release varies       project), but also want average of all.         Sprint & Release Burndown       Daily       varies       project), but also want average of all.         Sprint & Sprint       >6       collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to Scope         Customer Satisfaction -CSAT       Sprint       >40%       Increase Steadule to agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UAT         UAT Defects Found       Sprint       90%       Increase steaduly on from the first sprint w/tests.	Measurement	Frequency	Target	Notes
Velocity & Std. Deviation       Sprint, Release       varies       varies by team         Drag factor       Sprint, Release       <%	E0/E1 EV Delta	Sprint	<20%	(E1-E0/E1)*100
Drag factor       Sprint, Release       <%	Velocity & Std. Deviation	Sprint, Release	varies	varies by team
days, measure end to end time from the time the request was submitted by the business to the time it goes live in production. Alternatively, can measure the "request to scheduled" cycle time and that can be added to the "scheduled to production" cycle time (below).Avg Project Request Cycle Time - RequestedSprint, Release variesValue range will vary by request type (maint, s/m/l project), but also want average of all. grint, Release variesAvg Project Request Cycle Time - ScheduledSprint, Release variesproject), but also want average of all.Avg Project Request Cycle Time - ScheduledSprint, Release variesproject), but also want average of all.Sprint & Release BurndownDaily variesvaries varies by teamDefects/Story PointSprint sprintSprint variesCustomer Satisfaction -CSATSprint sprintSprint variesDefects/Story PointSprint sprintsoft variesUnit Test CoverageSprint sprint>90%System Test CoverageSprint sprintshuld have defects.UAT Defects FoundSprint0Sprint100%increase steadily on from the first sprint wirets.Story de-scoping indexSprint100%User Story Volatility<%	Drag factor	Sprint, Release	<5%	(Actual Effort in story pts unplanned / velocity )
Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled       Sprint, Release varies       project, but also want average of all.         Sprint & Release Burndown       Daily       varies by team         Customer Satisfaction -CSAT       Sprint       >6         Defects/Story Point       Sprint       >6         Unit Test Coverage       Sprint       >90%         System Test Coverage       Sprint       >90%         UAT Defects Found       Sprint       >90%         Harder target due to Agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UAT         System Test Coverage       Sprint       >90%         Harder target due to Agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UAT       should have 0 defects.         Running Tested Features       Sprint       0       should have 0 defects.         Story de-scoping index       Sprint       >10%       (Stories descoped / stories planned )         User Story Volatility       <5%	Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Requested	Sprint, Release	varies	days, measure end to end time from the time the request was submitted by the business to the time it goes live in production. Alternatively, can measure the "request to scheduled" cycle time and that can be added to the "scheduled to production" cycle time (below). Value range will vary by request type (maint, s/m/l project), but also want average of all.
Avg Project Request Cycle Time - ScheduledSprint, Releasevariesthe time it goes live in production. Value range will vary by request type (maint, s/m/l project, but also want average of all.Sprint & Release BurndownDailyvariesvariesvariesDefects/Story PointSprint>6collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to ScopeDefects/Story PointSprint>90%		<i>`</i>		days, measure end to end time from the time the request was scheduled by the business to
Sprint & Release Burndown         Daily         varies         varies by team           Customer Satisfaction -CSAT         Sprint         >6         collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to Scope           Defects/Story Point         Sprint         varies         collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to Scope           Unit Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%            System Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%            UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0         should have 0 defects.         Running Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non-broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. Should have 0 defects.           Story de-scoping index         Sprint         >10%         increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.           Story de-scoping index         Sprint         >10%         (stories descoped / stories planned)         (Story pts Descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planed) * 100. This is important for helping track business activities in relation to changing scope.           Story Volatility         <5%	Avg Project Request Cycle Time - Scheduled	Sprint, Release	varies	the time it goes live in production. Value range will vary by request type (maint, s/m/l project), but also want average of all.
Customer Satisfaction -CSATSprint>6Implement for all groups (dev, support, biz functions, etc). Low CSAT indicate less collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to ScopeDefects/Story PointSprint>90%Unit Test CoverageSprint>90%System Test CoverageSprint>90%UAT Defects FoundSprint0Should have 0 defects.Running Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non- broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. ShouldRunning Tested FeaturesSprint100%Story de-scoping indexSprint>10%User Story Volatility>10%(stories descoped / stories planned)User Story Volatility<5%	Sprint & Release Burndown	Daily	varies	varies by team
Defects/Story Point         Sprint         varies           Unit Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%           System Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%           UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0           UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0           UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0           Running Tested Features         Sprint         100%           Running Tested Features         Sprint         100%           Story de-scoping index         Sprint         100%           User Story Volatility         Sprint         >10%           User Story Volatility          Sprint         >10%           User Story Volatility         Sprint          Sprint         >10%           Total Earned Value         Sprint         varies         tracked by the business.         Tested for any proved complained on proved complained, in proved complained, and prioritization and changing mindsets on the pre-requisite of this approach is to have story values assigned as part of the demand and prioritization process. Should be           Total Earned Value         Sprint         varies         tracked by the business value, cost optimization, improved complained, and strategic           Agile Utilization         Month         roadmap         beliver competitive business value,	Customer Satisfaction -CSAT	Sprint	>6	Implement for all groups (dev, support, biz functions, etc). Low CSAT indicate less collaboration and potential increase in cycle time and/or duration. Also apps to Scope
Unit Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%           System Test Coverage         Sprint         >90%           UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0         Should have 0 defects.           UAT Defects Found         Sprint         0         Should have 0 defects.           Running Tested Features         Sprint         100%         increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.           Story de-scoping index         Sprint         100%         (Storie descoped / stories planned)           User Story Volatility         Sprint         >10%         (Story de value of stories completed. The pre-requisite of this approach is to have story values assigned as part of the demand and prioritization process. Should be           User Story Volatility         Sprint         varies         tracked by the business.           Total Earned Value         Sprint         varies         tracked by the business.           Agile Utilization         Month         roads         sprint         varies           Agile Utilization         Month         zogw (% of people involved in some initiative)         sprint	Defects/Story Point	Sprint	varies	
System Test Coverage       Sprint       >90%         UAT Defects Found       Sprint       0       Harder target due to Agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UAT         UAT Defects Found       Sprint       0       should have 0 defects.         Running Tested Features       Running Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non-broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. Should increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.         Story de-scoping index       Sprint       100%       (stories descoped / stories planned )         (Story get-scoping index       Sprint       >10%       (stories descoped / stories planned )         User Story Volatility       >10%       (stories descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planned) *         User Story Volatility       <5%	Unit Test Coverage	Sprint	>90%	
UAT Defects FoundSprintHarder target due to Agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UATUAT Defects FoundSprint0should have 0 defects.Running Tested FeaturesRunning Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non- broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. ShouldRunning Tested FeaturesSprint100%increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.Story de-scoping indexSprint>10%(stories descoped / stories planned)(Story tes Sprint>10%(stories descoped / stories planned)User Story Volatility(Story pts Descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planned) * 100). This is important for helping track business activities in relation to changing scope. Scope can slip due to development issues, but it also can slip do to a lack of focus and prioritization and changing mindsets on the part of the business. Creates accountability on both sides of the house.User Story Volatility<5%	System Test Coverage	Sprint	>90%	
Running Tested FeaturesSprint100%Running Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non- broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. Should increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.Story de-scoping indexSprint>10%(stories descoped / stories planned )(Story pts Oscipated Features)Sprint>10%(stories descoped / stories planned )(Story pts Oscipated Features)((Story pts Descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planned) * 100). This is important for helping track business activities in relation to changing scope. Scope can slip due to development issues, but it also can slip do to a lack of focus and prioritization and changing mindsets on the part of the business. Creates accountability on both sides of the house.User Story Volatility<5%	UAT Defects Found	Sprint	0	Harder target due to Agile structure and PO involvement. New code going through UAT should have 0 defects.
Story de-scoping index       Sprint       >10%       (stories descoped / stories planned )         ((Story pts Descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planned) *       100). This is important for helping track business activities in relation to changing scope.         Scope can slip due to development issues, but it also can slip do to a lack of focus and prioritization and changing mindsets on the part of the business. Creates accountability on both sides of the house.         User Story Volatility       <5%	Running Tested Features	Sprint	100%	Running Tested Features. Cumulative number of tests that are running for tested (non- broken) features. Drives performance to ensure running code and tested code. Should increase steadily on from the first sprint w/tests.
Image: Construction of the second s	Story de-scoping index	Sprint	>10%	(stories descoped / stories planned )
Sum Total of earned value of stories completed. The pre-requisite of this approach is to have story values assigned as part of the demand and prioritization process. Should be tracked by the business.Total Earned ValueSprintvariestracked by the business.Agile UtilizationMonthroadmapbusiness alignment. (% Agile hrs/% total hrs)Get Involved - ACE ParticipationSprint>30%(% of people involved in some initiative)	User Story Volatility		<5%	<ul> <li>((Story pts Descoped + Story pts Added + Story pts Modified)/ Story pts Originally Planned) *</li> <li>100). This is important for helping track business activities in relation to changing scope.</li> <li>Scope can slip due to development issues, but it also can slip do to a lack of focus and prioritization and changing mindsets on the part of the business. Creates accountability on both sides of the house.</li> </ul>
Agile UtilizationMonthas per roadmapDeliver competitive business value, cost optimization, improved compliance, and strategic business alignment. (% Agile hrs/% total hrs)Get Involved - ACE ParticipationSprint>30%(% of people involved in some initiative)	Total Earned Value	Sprint	varies	Sum Total of earned value of stories completed. The pre-requisite of this approach is to have story values assigned as part of the demand and prioritization process. Should be tracked by the business.
Get Involved - ACE Participation Sprint >30% (% of people involved in some initiative)	Agile Utilization	Month	as per roadmap	Deliver competitive business value, cost optimization, improved compliance, and strategic business alignment. (% Agile hrs/% total hrs)
$\cdot$	Get Involved - ACE Participation	Sprint	>30%	(% of people involved in some initiative)